

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE  
MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA  
VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS  
AMENDED)**

**Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta.**

**Appeal No. 92/2025**

**Veena Vadini Ayurvedic College Hospital,  
Bhopal  
Muser362837**

**..... Appellant**

**V E R S U S**

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,  
Bhopal**

**..... Respondent**

**ORDER**

**(Date: 17th December, 2025)**

1. This appeal is filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as “Act of 2007”) against the order passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for short) dated 15/09/2025, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was fixed at Rs. 1,92,000/- per student per year for B.A.M.S. course being run by it, for academic session 2025-26.
2. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that he had claimed fee of the course at Rs. 3,00,000/- per student per year for academic session 2025-26. However, the appellant has failed to upload its financial data for F.Y. 2024-25. Therefore, on the request of the appellant, fee for one academic year has been regulated by the AFRC by adding 20% in its previous fee pertaining to academic year 2024-25. Therefore, the AFRC has regulated fee 1,92,000/- per student per year for academic year 2025-26. It is submitted that expenditure of the course has been increased. Therefore, the appellant is unable to run its college properly. Therefore, it is requested that the fee may be enhanced up to Rs. 3,00,000/-.

3. On the other hand, it is submitted on behalf of the respondent that in absence of audited balance sheet for F.Y. 2024-25 and on request of the appellant institution, the aforesaid fee has been regulated by the AFRC. There is no irregularity in regulating the fee. Therefore, the appeal is liable to be dismissed.
4. I have heard both the parties. Perused the record.
5. After considering all the facts and circumstances of the matter, it appears that the fee regulated by the AFRC is proper. The AFRC has not committed any error to regulating fee of the appellant institution. Therefore, the impugned order passed by the AFRC is not interferable. Hence, appeal is liable to be dismissed.

Accordingly, this appeal stands disposed of.

**(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)**  
**Appellate Authority**