

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE
MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA
VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS
AMENDED)**

Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta

Appeal No. 83/2025

**Vaishnavi Institute of Pharmacy,
Raisen
Tuser24311**

..... Appellant

V E R S U S

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,
Bhopal**

..... Respondent

ORDER

(Date: 8th January, 2026)

1. This appeal is filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as “Act of 2007”) against the order passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for short) dated 26/08/2025, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was regulated at Rs. 23,500/- per student per semester for B.Pharma. course being run by it, for academic session 2025-26, 2026-27 and 2027-28.
2. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that the appellant had proposed fee at Rs. 65,000/- per student per year, at the relevant time he uploaded consolidated balance sheet of B.Pharma and D.Pharma courses on respondent's portal and fee has been regulated by the AFRC for both the courses. It is submitted that the AFRC has not considered properly the aforesaid balance sheet for F.Y. 2024-25 and regulated less amount of fee for B.Pharma Course. It is also submitted that looking to the amount of regulated fee, the appellant is unable to run the institute properly. Therefore, it is prayed that the fee may be enhanced accordingly.
3. On the other hand, the respondent supported the impugned order.

4. The Chartered Accountant of AFRC is present today. He submits that he properly calculated the balance sheet of the institute and on the basis of aforesaid balance sheet, fee comes to Rs. 55,000/- per student per year. He also submits that fee regulated by the respondent is less than the fee calculated by him.
5. The respondent is unable to explain that how less fee has been regulated than calculated by his own Chartered Accountant.
6. I have heard both the parties. Perused the record.
7. In view of the above, it appears that there is a difference between fee regulated by AFRC and the fee calculated by its Chartered Accountant, while fee must be regulated on the basis of calculation sheet prepared by the Chartered Accountant of AFRC and in light of the 'Framework for Fee Regulation of Technical Education Institutions'. The respondent is unable to explain, why the respondent has regulated less fee than the fee calculated by its Chartered Accountant. Therefore, it appears that the AFRC has not regulated proper fee of the course. Therefore, the impugned order is liable to be set aside.
8. Consequently, the appeal is partly allowed and the impugned order dated 26/08/2025 is hereby set aside and the fee of the appellant institution is enhanced from Rs. 23,500/- per student per semester to Rs. 27,500/- per student per semester (Rs. 55,000/- per student per year). Other charges fixed by AFRC shall remain same.

This appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)
Appellate Authority