

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE  
MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA  
VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS  
AMENDED)**

**Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta.**

**Appeal No. 98/2025**

**Sagar Institute of Research & Technology Excellence,  
Bhopal  
Tuser11798**

**..... Appellant**

**V E R S U S**

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,  
Bhopal**

**..... Respondent**

**ORDER**

**(Date: 19th December, 2025)**

1. This appeal is filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as “Act of 2007”) against the order passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for short) dated 26/05/2025, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was fixed at Rs. 30,000/- per student per semester for B.E. course being run by it, for academic sessions 2025-26, 2026-27 and 2027-28.
2. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that he had claimed fee at Rs. 30,000/- per student per semester for the course and had uploaded balance sheet of three financial years. It is also submitted that the AFRC has regulated the same fee as claimed by the appellant. It is submitted that the institution is unable to run the course properly. Therefore, fee may be enhanced.
3. On the other hand, the impugned order has been supported by the respondent by submitting that the committee accepted claim of the appellant and has regulated the fee. There is no irregularity in calculating the fee. Therefore, appeal is liable to be rejected.

4. I have heard both the parties. Perused the record.
5. After considering all the facts and circumstances, in view of this Authority, the appellant at the time of regulating fee, proposed the fee at Rs. 30,000/- per student per semester for academic sessions 2025-26, 2026-27 and 2027-28 and the same has been awarded by the AFRC for all session. Therefore, in this view of the matter, there appears to be no error on part of AFRC while regulating fee of the appellant institution. Therefore, the appeal is liable to be dismissed and dismissed accordingly.

Accordingly, this appeal stands disposed of.

**(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)**  
**Appellate Authority**