

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE  
MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA  
VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS  
AMENDED)**

**Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta.**

**Appeal No. 50/2025**

**Motilal Nehru Vidhi Mahavidyalaya,  
Khandwa  
Huser1114438**

**..... Appellant**

**V E R S U S**

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,  
Bhopal**

**..... Respondent**

**ORDER**

**(Date: 11th December, 2025)**

1. This appeal is filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as “Act of 2007”) against the order passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for short) dated 10/06/2025, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was fixed at Rs. 23,000/- per student per year for L.L.B. (Hons.) course being run by it, for academic sessions 2025-26, 2026-27 and 2027-28.
2. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that the impugned order is not a speaking order. No allowed or disallowed expenditure has been mentioned in the order. No opportunity of hearing is given to the appellant. It is further submitted that Bar Council of India has fixed an amount of Rs. 5,50,000/- as inspection and permission fee for L.L.B. (Hons.) course for per three years. The appellant has deposited the aforesaid fee in F.Y. 2022-23, it is also required to be deposited by the appellant in the academic session 2025-26. It is submitted that aforesaid fees has not been considered by the respondent while passing the impugned order. It is further submitted that the fee

regulated by the respondent is on very lower side and the appellant is unable to run the course properly. Therefore, the impugned order is liable to be set aside.

3. The respondent, however, supported the impugned order, but it is fairly submitted that the aforesaid amount has not been considered while passing the impugned order. It is also submitted that the aforesaid fee has not been mentioned in the audited balance sheet of F.Y. 2024-25, and therefore, the aforesaid fee had not been taken into consideration. It is also submitted on behalf of the respondent that for avoiding future loss of the appellant, fee as regulated by AFRC for academic session 2025-26 can be affirmed and the fee regulated for the remaining sessions can be set aside with a direction to the appellant to apply for new fee regulation after current academic session.
4. I have heard both the parties. Perused the record.
5. After taking all the relevant facts and circumstances into consideration, in such a situation, in view of this Authority, as prayed by the respondent, the impugned order relating to academic sessions 2026-27 and 2027-28 is hereby set aside. While, order passed for academic session 2025-26 is affirmed. The respondent is directed to regulate the fee for the appellant institution afresh for academic sessions 2026-27 and 2027-28, when occasion arises, after considering all the necessary documents and giving opportunity of hearing to the appellant.
6. With aforesaid directions and modifications in the impugned order passed by AFRC, the appeal stand disposed of.

**(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)**  
**Appellate Authority**