

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE
MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA
VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS
AMENDED)**

Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta.

Appeal No. 30/2025

**IPS Academy Institute Of Business Management And Research Sanwer
Campus, Indore** **Appellant**
Tuser44431

V E R S U S

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,
Bhopal** **Respondent**

**ORDER
(Date: 13th January, 2026)**

1. This appeal is filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Vinyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as “Act of 2007”) against the order passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for short) dated 30/06/2025, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was fixed at Rs. 29,000/- per student per semester for M.B.A. course being run by it, for academic sessions 2025-26, 2026-27 and 2027-28.
2. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that accreditation benefit of 10% as per guideline of AFRC has not been granted. Therefore, the aforesaid amount may be added to the fee regulated by AFRC. It is also submitted that the AFRC without adding the aforesaid amount has regulated fee. Therefore, the impugned order is liable to be set aside and 10% amount for

accreditation may be added to the regulated fee. No other point has been raised.

3. Per contra, the respondent supported the impugned order and it is vehemently argued that the course, which is run by the appellant institution has not been granted accreditation by the appropriate Authority, and therefore, the accreditation benefit cannot be granted.
4. It is fairly submitted by the appellant that the appropriate authority has not granted any accreditation to the course, for which the institution has filed appeal.
5. I have heard both the parties. Perused the record.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, it is apparent that during regulation of fee, because accreditation has not been granted to the course by the appropriate authority, therefore, accreditation benefit cannot be granted by AFRC. It also appears that the AFRC has rightly considered all the expenditure as shown in audited balance sheet of the course. No error has been committed by AFRC. Therefore, the appeal is liable to be dismissed.

Accordingly, the impugned order passed by AFRC is hereby affirmed and appeal is dismissed.

**(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)
Appellate Authority**