

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE
MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA
VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS
AMENDED)**

Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta.

Appeal No. 93/2025

**IES Ayurvedic Medical College,
Bhopal
Muser365819**

..... Appellant

V E R S U S

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,
Bhopal**

..... Respondent

**ORDER
(Date: 17th December, 2025)**

1. This appeal is filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as "Act of 2007") against the order passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for short) dated 10/09/2025, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was fixed at Rs. 1,48,000/- per student per year for B.A.M.S. course being run by it, for academic session 2025-26.
2. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that without hearing of the appellant fee has been regulated by the AFRC. It is submitted that the Government of India has issued a gazette notification (extra ordinary) dated 02.05.2024, which is applicable for academic session 2025-26. It is also submitted that as per aforesaid notification, the expenditure on teaching and non-teaching staff has been increased around two times, but the aforesaid notification has not been considered by the AFRC. It is also submitted that compliance of the aforesaid notification is mandatory. The appellant already started to follow the guidelines and instructions of the aforesaid notifications. The appellant also submitted that he had uploaded the audited

data for the F.Y. 2023-24 on the portal of respondent, but the same was fully ignored by the AFRC and not taken into consideration. Therefore, matter may be remanded back to calculate the fee afresh by relying upon the aforesaid notification.

3. On the other hand, the respondent supported the impugned order. However, he has fairly submitted that as per aforesaid notification, expenditure of the appellant has been increased and the aforesaid notification has not been taken into consideration at the time of regulating fee. Therefore, the matter can be remanded back for fresh calculation of fee on the basis of audited financial data and the aforesaid notification.
4. I have heard both the parties. Perused the record.
5. After considering all the facts and circumstances of the matter, in view of this authority, the impugned order passed by AFRC is set aside and the matter is remanded back to AFRC with the direction to regulated the fee of the institution afresh within 15 days, considering the gazette notification published on 02.05.2024 and also considering its audited financial data.

Accordingly, this appeal stands disposed of.

(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)
Appellate Authority