

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE
MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA
VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS
AMENDED)**

Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta.

Appeal No. 45/2022

**Hakeem Abdul Hameed Unani Medical College Hospital
Dewas**

..... Appellant

V E R S U S

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,
Bhopal**

..... Respondent

ORDER

(Date: 9th September, 2025)

1. This appeal is filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as “Act of 2007”) against the order passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for short) dated 27/09/2022, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was fixed at Rs. 32,000/- per student per year for B.Ed. course being run by it, for academic sessions 2022-23, 2023-2024 and 2024-25.
2. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that salaries for teaching and non-teaching staff have been increased up to 21.25% till 2021-22, but the AFRC has not considered the aforesaid expenditure while regulating the fees. However, it is fairly submitted that the appellant has no objection relating to other expenditures, which are mentioned in Para 2 to 5 in memo of appeal because other expenditures have been considered by the AFRC properly, except salary expenditure of teaching and non-teaching staff.

3. On the other hand, it is submitted on behalf of the respondent that all the expenditures, as shown in the audited balance sheet uploaded on the AFRC's portal by the appellant for the relevant academic year including salaries of teaching and non-teaching staff has been properly considered by the respondent. He also supported the impugned order and prayed for dismissal of this appeal.
4. I have heard both the parties. Perused the record.
5. On perusal of record, it appears that all the income and expenditure shown by the appellant institution in its audited balance sheet has been properly considered by the AFRC and on the basis of aforesaid, the AFRC has regulated fees of the institution for questioned academic sessions. The AFRC has not committed any error to regulate the fee. Therefore, the impugned order is not intereferable. Accordingly, the impugned order is upheld and the appeal is dismissed.

This appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)
Appellate Authority