

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE
MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA
VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS
AMENDED)**

Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta.

Appeal No. 88/2025

**Globus College of Pharmacy,
Raisen
Tuser21696**

..... Appellant

V E R S U S

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,
Bhopal**

..... Respondent

Appeal No. 89/2025

**Globus College of Pharmacy,
Raisen
Tuser71696**

..... Appellant

V E R S U S

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,
Bhopal**

..... Respondent

ORDER

(Date: 17th February, 2026)

1. This common order shall govern disposal of Appeal Nos. 88/2025 & 89/2025.
2. These appeals are filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as “Act of 2007”) against the order passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for short)

no. 2021 and 2022 respectively, both dated 28.08.2025, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was fixed Rs. 20,000/- per student per semester for B.Pharma. and Rs. 31,000/- per student per semester for M.Pharma. course being run by it, for three academic sessions i.e. 2025-26, 2026-27 and 2027-28.

3. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that at the time of regulating fee, he could not upload the course-wise audited balance sheet on the portal of AFRC and uploaded the audited balance sheet of the society, due to which, fee of the appellant college is regulated on lower side by AFRC less and therefore, the appellant is unable to run the courses properly. It is further submitted by the appellant that hearing opportunity was also not given him. It is further submitted that same fee is being fixed by AFRC from academic session 2022-23 onwards. It is also submitted by the appellant that it will be beneficial to the institution as well as students that fee, as regulated by AFRC may be affirmed for the present academic session and may be set aside for the academic session 2026-27 and 2027-28 with a liberty to appellant to apply afresh for fee regulation at relevant time.
4. On the other hand, it is submitted on behalf of the respondent that as the institute had uploaded the audited balance sheet of the society and for regulation of fee, it is necessary to upload course-wise audited data. Therefore, the fee of the appellant institution was regulated on the basis of 'Minimum Fee'. It is further submitted by the respondent that fee has been regulated by AFRC is just and proper and therefore, impugned orders are not interferable.
5. I have heard both the parties. Perused the record.
6. After considering contentions put forth by both the parties. In view of this Authority, as the hearing opportunity was not given to the appellant.

Therefore, it appears proper that the appeals may be allowed partly. Accordingly, the appeals are partly allowed. The impugned orders passed by AFRC are hereby affirmed for academic session 2025-26 and is set aside for academic sessions 2026-27 and 2027-28.

7. The respondent is directed to regulate the fee for the appellant institution afresh for further academic sessions, when occasion arises, after considering all the necessary documents and giving opportunity of hearing to the appellant.
8. With aforesaid directions and modifications in the impugned orders passed by AFRC, the appeals stand disposed of.

(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)
Appellate Authority