

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE MADHYA
PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA VINIYAMAN
AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007 AS AMENDED IN 2013.

Appeal No. 56/2016

Vasundhara Raje Homoeopathic
Medical College & Hospital,
Gwalior
M.P.

Appellant

VERSUS

1. The Admission and Fee Regulatory
Committee, Bhopal.


Respondent

ORDER
(Date 03/10/2016)

1. This appeal under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha, (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (the Act, for short) and Sec. 10 (1) & (2) of Sansodhan Ahiniyam 2013 has been filed by **Vasundhara Raje Homoeopathic Medical College & Hospital, Gwalior** against Admission & Fee Regulatory Committee's (AFRC for short) order No. AFRC/2016/3613 dated 06.08.2016 fixing fee of Rs. 55,500.00 per year for B.H.M.S. course for academic sessions 2016-17, 2017-18 & 2018-19.
2. Appellant and respondent were heard.
3. Appellant had been given an opportunity to make any further written submission by 24.09.2016. No further written submission has been made by the appellant.
4. Appellant has submitted that the fee fixed by AFRC vide impugned order is not adequate to meet the expenses of the appellant college. Hence, increase in fee may be considered.



5. Respondent submitted that AFRC had fixed fee vide impugned order after considering the audited accounts and fee proposal of the college.
6. Income and expenditure account as on 31.03.2016 submitted by the appellant alongwith appeal memo shows net deficit of Rs. 2.42 lacs. However, Appellant college's balance sheet as on 31.03.2016 shows Reserves and Surplus of more than Rs. 100 lacs.
7. Fee fixed for the previous 3 years block (2013-14, 2014-15 & 2015-16) was Rs. 45,000.00 per year. AFRC vide impugned order has increased the fees to Rs. 55,500.00 per year for academic sessions 2016-17, 2017-18 & 2018-19.
8. Keeping in view the Reserves and Surplus available with the appellant college and the reasonable increase in fee made by AFRC vide impugned order, the appeal has no merit and is therefore, dismissed.
9. Para 11 of the impugned order is erroneous. Sec. 10 of the Principal Act has been substituted by Section 10(1) & (2) of the Amended Act, 2013. Hence, AFRC is directed to issue a revised order after necessary correction.


(P.K.Dash) 03/10/2016

Appellate Authority